

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.aspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/980,182	01/07/2002	Georg Gros	DNAG 227 - PFF/JRC	1252
24972	7590 10/29/2003	, in the second		XAMINER
FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI, LLP			TSOY, ELENA	
666 FIFTH A' NEW YORK,	NY 10103-3198		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1762	

DATE MAILED: 10/29/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/980,182	GROS, GEORG			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Elena Tsoy	1762			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 19 September 2003 FAILS TO PLACTHEREFORE, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	roid abandonment of this applica	ition. A proper reply to a			
	<u>:PLY</u> [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period o fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply contend than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	cause:				
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furthe	r consideration and/or search (s	ee NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	better form for appeal by mater	ially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would I canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 					
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consid —·	dered but does NOT place the			
 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	use it is not directed SOLELY to	issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	s) a) $oxtimes$ will not be entered or b)[$oxtimes$ uld be rejected is provided belov	will be entered and an vor appended.			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:		•			
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 26-30.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
0. Other:					

Advisory Action

1. The amendment filed on September 19, 2003 under 37 CFR 1.116 in reply to the final rejection has been considered but is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance and will not be entered because: the proposed amendment raises new issues, such as a coating mixture *consisting of* recited components, that would require further search and consideration since they were not searched and addressed in the Final Office Action.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed on September 19, 2003 have been considered but are moot since they are directed no non-entered amended claims.

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elena Tsoy whose telephone number is (703) 605-1171. The examiner can normally be reached on Mo-Thur. 9:00-7:30, Mo-Thu.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shrive Beck can be reached on (703) 308-2333. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for all communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Elena Tsoy Examiner

Art Unit 1762

October 23, 2003

'SHAVE R BECK SUPERMOUNT PATENT EXAMINER

SECTED 1700